



Group Fellowship Vote Process Survey Report January 31, 2026

Contents

- 1. Total responding to survey..... 2
- 2. For the Fellowship Group Vote, what was your role and in what type of group?..... 2
- 3. Fellowship Group Voting.....2
- 4. The Fellowship Group Voting Process was useful to my group.....3
- 5. Was the Fellowship Group Voting Process valuable for our world fellowship? ¹..... 3
- 6. The amount of communication about the Fellowship Name Vote I received was:..... 4
- 7. The 4-month voting time period was:..... 4
- 8. What did you find useful at this website, <https://adultchildren.org/fellowship-group-voting/>² 5
- 9. Did you attend any of the town halls or listen to the recording of the town halls?..... 5
- 10. Town Hall for the Fellowship Group Vote..... 5
 - 10.01 The Town Hall Session was useful..... 5
 - 10.02 The Group Conscience Skit was instructive, <https://adultchildren.org/group-conscience/>..... 6

1. Total responding to survey.

Survey participants	182
Number of Fellowship Group Who Voted	
Meetings Groups	1,031
InterGroups	35
Regional Groups	2
Total	1,068

2. For the Fellowship Group Vote, what was your role and in what type of group?

Item	Group Contact Who Voted	Trusted Servant involved in the process	Member participating in the group conscience	Group member	Total
Regional Group	2 20%	2 20%	0 0%	6 60%	10 100%
InterGroup	19 5%	7 27%	7 27%	7 27%	26 100%
Meeting Group	54 45%	18 15%	31 26%	14 14%	120 100%

3. Fellowship Group Voting.

Item	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 OK	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree	Total
Voting process was clear.	8 7%	7 6%	13 11%	52 43%	40 33%	120 100%
Instructions were easy to follow.	7 6%	4 3%	16 13%	52 44%	40 34%	119 100%
Voting was simple to complete.	6 5%	4 3%	11 9%	47 40%	50 42%	118 100%
Voting in my own language made the process easier.	6 5%	3 3%	14 13%	38 34%	51 46%	112 100%

4. The Fellowship Group Voting Process was useful to my group.

Item	Frequency	%
5 Strongly agree	33	28%
4 Agree	54	46%
3 OK	21	18%
2 Disagree	7	6%
1 Strongly disagree	2	2%
Total	117	100%

5. Was the Fellowship Group Voting Process valuable for our world fellowship? ¹

Theme	
Fellowship Connection	
Code	Frequency
Strengthened fellowship connection	3
Encouraged group discussion	2
Encouraged group discussion	2
Learning about group conscience process	2
Strengthened connections worldwide	2
Brought group members closer together	1
Learning how fellowship decisions are made	1
Theme	
Inclusive Participation	
Code	Frequency
Giving members a voice	3
Global fellowship participation	3
Inclusive participation across groups	4
Groups participating in fellowship decisions	2
Giving members a voice	3
Theme	
Positive Process	
Code	Frequency
Process valuable	14
Process beneficial	3
Process inclusive	2

Theme	
Uncertainty or Process Criticism	
Code	Frequency
Unsure if process was valuable	6
Process unnecessary for name change	2
Time will tell if valuable	2
Concerns about decision-making process	1
Dissatisfaction with name options	1
Mixed views within group	1
Process too long or heavy	1

¹The original comments were paraphrased for anonymity and grouped into themes.

6. The amount of communication about the Fellowship Name Vote I received was:

Item	Frequency	%
5 Far too much	5	4%
4 Too much	14	12%
3 About right	92	79%
2 Too little	3	3%
1 Far too little	3	3%
Total	117	100%

7. The 4-month voting time period was:

Item	Frequency	%
5 Too long	20	17%
4 Somewhat long	29	24%
3 Fine	68	57%
2 Somewhat short	1	1%
1 Too short	1	1%
Total	119	100%

**8. What did you find useful at this website,
<https://adultchildren.org/fellowship-group-voting/>²**

Answer Choices	Responses	Percentage
How to Vote	58	55%
FAQs	51	49%
Holding a Group Conscience	48	46%
Define the "Functional Name"	40	38%
Name Study 2024 ABC Report	32	30%
Letter to the Fellowship	29	28%
Sample Voting Packet	27	26%
Motion	25	24%
Town Halls/Forum	21	20%
Name Study Original Ballot Proposal	20	19%
Letter to Delegates	12	11%
Other (please specify)	17	16%
Total Respondents	105	

² Can select more than one

9. Did you attend any of the town halls or listen to the recording of the town halls?

Item	Frequency	%
Yes	26	22%
No	94	78%
Total	120	100%

10. Town Hall for the Fellowship Group Vote

10.01 The Town Hall Session was useful.

Item	Frequency	%
5 Strongly agree	6	24%
4 Agree	14	56%
3 OK	4	16%
2 Disagree	1	4%
1 Strongly disagree	0	0%
Total	25	100%

10.02 The Group Conscience Skit was instructive,
<https://adultchildren.org/group-conscience/>.

Item	Frequency	%
5 Strongly agree	2	24%
4 Agree	12	55%
3 OK	6	27%
2 Disagree	0	0%
1 Strongly disagree	2	9%
Total	22	100%

11. What worked well in the Fellowship Group Voting Process? ¹

Theme	
Communication Reminders	
Code	Frequency
Email reminders	4
Broad fellowship communication	2
Repeated email reminders	2
Balanced communication	1
Theme	
Information Clarity	
Code	Frequency
Information sharing	6
Clear instructions	3
FAQ resource	2
Website information resources	1
Theme	
Member Understanding	
Code	Frequency
Group discussion	6
Member voice in discussion	4
Member listening	2
Scripts for meetings	2
Contacting WSO by email	1
Group consensus	1
Questions before voting	1

Theme	
Process Length	
Code	Frequency
Adequate time for participation	7
Extended voting period	2
Multiple business meetings	2
Theme	
Voting Technical Issues	
Code	Frequency
Easy voting process	5
Clear voting process	4
Online voting	3
Easy vote submission	2
No technical problems	1
Short clear form	1
Smooth meetings	1

¹The original comments were paraphrased for anonymity and grouped into themes.

12. What could have been improved from the Fellowship Group Voting Process?

1

Theme	
Communication Reminders	
Code	Frequency
Reminders continued after vote submission	3
More consistent communication	1
Repeated reminders caused annoyance	1
Theme	
Information Clarity	
Code	Frequency
Clear explanation of the name change, implications	4
Clear voting instructions	4
Centralized information resources	2
Clear explanation of voting terms and procedures	2
Simplified documents	2

Theme	
Member Understanding	
Code	Frequency
Understanding proposal or voting options	3
Greater member participation	1
Simpler explanations for newcomers	1
Understanding group conscience process	1
Theme	
Process Length	
Code	Frequency
Process duration too long	2
Shorter voting period	2
Voting period too long	1
Theme	
Voting Technical Issues	
Code	Frequency
Voting platform usability	3
Additional name options	2
Vote results administration	2
Vote form feedback option	1
Voting rules for multiple meetings	1

¹The original comments were paraphrased for anonymity and grouped into themes.